# BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of Southwestern Bell	)	STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
Telephone Company - Kansas' Compliance	) Docket No.	97-SWBT-41 I-GIT
with Section 271 of the Federal	)	NOV 0 3 2000
Telecommunications Act of 1996	)	
		Arthy S. Wyorm Bookst Room

# RESPONSE OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY TO IONEX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MOTION TO STAY FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWBT") hereby files its response to lonex Communications, Inc.'s ("lonex's") Motion to Stay Further Proceedings.

#### INTRODUCTION

1. lonex's Motion should be denied. The Kansas Corporation Commission ("Commission" or "KCC") has completed this proceeding and there is no further action to be stayed. SWBT received the official copy of the Complaint from the Commission on November 1<sup>st</sup>, and pursuant to the rules of procedure, has ten days thereafter to file SWBT's written answer. See Attachment A to this response, which is the letter from the Commission, date-stamped as received by the President's office on November 1, 2000. Although SWBT is not required to include its answer to the complaint in this response, SWBT does state herein that the allegations in the complaint are without merit. SWBT is in full compliance with all of the KCC's orders in Docket No. 97-SCCC-149-GIT. lonex's complaint is nothing more than a billing and contract interpretation dispute, and in no way relates to SWBT's Section 271 application presently pending' before the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC").

- 2. Ionex's complaint must be handled pursuant to the KCC's rules of procedure, specifically Kansas Administrative Regulations, 82-I-220, and is not a part of this Docket. As the Commission noted at the Administrative Meetings in this Docket, if the Commission were to reserve its opinion on the larger issues of SWBT's compliance with the fourteen point checklist until each and every CLEC-specific complaint were resolved, this matter would never be closed. As a point of fact, it is closed, the Commission has stated its support for SWBT's application, has approved the K2A, and has no further action to take in this Docket. The KCC should move forward on its consultative report to the FCC on the, Commission's conclusions regarding SWBT's compliance with the fourteen point checklist, and handle the lonex complaint pursuant to the Kansas Administrative Regulations.'
- 3. SWBT has a right to procedural due process, to respond in writing to the complaint under the timeframes of the rules, to discovery and to a hearing on the issues raised. The complaint is on one track, the KCC's report to the FCC on SWBT's compliance with the checklist is on another one. Ionex should not be allowed to use the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The FCC's Public Notice issued concurrent with SWBT's filing of its Application for in-region interLATA relief in the States of Kansas and Oklahoma, on October 26, 2000, states:

State Commission and Department of Justice Written Consultations. The Kansas and Oklahoma Corporation Commissions must file any written consultation on or before November 20, 2000. (footnote omitted) . . . Because the Kansas and Oklahoma Commissions and the Department of Justice are given roles by statute in a section 271 proceeding, copies of all pleadings, including comments, should be filed with those parties.

pending report to the FCC as a cudgel to beat SWBT into giving up its procedural rights in the complaint process or into foregoing its right to develop the facts in dispute.

## SWBT HAS NOT FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE COMMISSION'S ORDERS IN THE COST DOCKET

4. lonex's statements in its Motion are untrue. SWBT has fully complied with the Commission's orders in the UNE cost docket, Docket No. 97-SCCC-149-GIT. SWBT has filed the UNE Master List, as ordered by the Commission, and made those rates that are effective available to CLECs. However, as stated in Section 252(a)(I):

"Upon receiving a request for interconnection, services, or network elements pursuant to section 251, an incumbent local exchange carrier may negotiate and enter into a binding agreement with the requesting telecommunications carrier or carriers without regard to the standards set forth in subsections (b) and (c) of section 251."

lonex <u>appears</u> to be making a claim pursuant to the ACG agreement, which was assigned to Feist Long Distance. (SWBT is still trying to sort out the relationships of these companies, and may need to engage in discovery on this issue.) In any event, ACG <u>voluntarily</u> opted into the Sprint-Kansas agreement in December of 1998. Thereafter, including in <u>August of 1999</u>, ACG voluntarily filed amendments to its Agreement, including an amendment to its Schedule of Pricing - UNE. This action took place 6 *months after the Commission's non-final February Order*. If Feist/ACG/lonex believed that it was entitled to rates from the February, 1999 Order of the KCC, it had every opportunity to request those rates, negotiate on that basis, and arbitrate if it was not able to reach resolution with SWBT. (SWBT notes that ACG states affirmatively in its complaint that it participated in the UNE Cost Docket prior to the issuance of the February, 1999 order.) It was not then, and it is not now, SWBT's

responsibility to do the legal, regulatory and negotiating work for another business entity.

- SWBT also notes that the rates in the February 1999 order were not 5. permanent, as stated in lonex's Motion. Further, the order setting permanent recurring rates did not issue until September 1999, and there has still not been an order from the Commission setting permanent nonrecurring rates. Also, as the Commission stated in its Order On Petition For Stay And/Or Motion For Extension Of Time in Docket No. 97-SCCC-149-GIT, dated October 15, 1999, the nonrecurring prices in the February Order were applicable only "[t]o the extent that interconnection agreements do not establish prices for nonrecurring costs . . . . " The ACG Interconnection Agreement, voluntarily entered into by the CLEC, had nonrecurring rates contained therein. ACG voluntarily opted into the Sprint Agreement, took the rates contained in that Agreement, participated in the UNE Cost Docket, was obviously capable of requesting and negotiating changes to rates when it so chose, but never requested the rates in the February Order or in the September Order. It is SWBT's position that the contractual language in these various interconnection agreements did not require any other action on its part, and SWBT will lay out those facts in its answer to the complaint.
- 6. In any event, none of this has any impact on the Section 271 proceeding. There is no "absence of cost-based, nondiscriminatory rates" in the State of Kansas. This Commission has set rates for unbundled network elements following the FCC's guidelines, and those rates are available in the Kansas 271 Agreement, as well as in other approved, voluntarily negotiated interconnection agreements. There is no "devastating impact on competition", nor has SWBT refused to abide by Commission

orders. There is nothing more here than lonex's refusal to accept the obligations of its voluntarily negotiated agreements, and a blatant attempt to blackmail SWBT by threatening the 271 application. The Commission should deny the Motion to Stay and allow the parties to fully develop the facts of this dispute through the complaint process.

WHEREFORE, SWBT respectfully requests that the Commission deny lonex's Motion to Stay Further Proceedings With Respect To SWBT's Section 271 Application.

APRIL J. RODEWALD (KS #99007) 
BRUCE A. NEY (KS #15554)

BRUCE A. NEY (KS #15554) MICHELLE B. O'NEAL (KS #18701)

220 E. Sixth Street, Room 515 Topeka, Kansas 66603-3596 (785-276-8411)

Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

### VERIFICATION

I, Charles H. Cleek, of lawful age, and being first duly sworn, now state: I am Executive Director-Regulatory Matters, and have read the above Response on behalf of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and verify the statements contained herein to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Charles H. Cleek

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of November, 2000.

DEBRA J. UHL
Notary Public - State of Kansas
My Appt. Expires April 30, 2004

Notary Publiò

My Appointment Expires: April 30, 2004

## **ATTACHMENT A**



REPLY DUE				
MR. MCKENZIE	PLEASE HANDLE	PLEASE DISCUSS	FYI	
MR. CLEEK MR. GARTNER				
MS. RODEWALD	7			
C'luter for				
MS.YOUNG		<u> </u>	Ь	

## Kansas Corporation Commission

Bill Graves, Governor John Wine, Chair Cynthia L. Claus, Commissioner Brian]. Moline, Commissioner

Utilities Division October 30, 2000

CERTIFIED MAIL

Return Receipt Requested

Shawn M. McKenzie Southwestern Bell Telephone Company 220 East sixth Street Topeka, KS 66603

RE: Docket No. 01-SWBT-344-COM

Dear Mr. McKenzie:

Enclosed herewith, please find one (1) copy of a formal complaint which is served upon you as the President of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company.

Any questions and correspondence concerning this docket should be addressed to the following Commission staff:

Christine Aarnes Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604 (785) 271-3165 Eva Powers Asst. General Counsel Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604 (785) 271-3288

Please file your answer with the Commission within ten (10) days after receipt hereof and serve a copy of said answer on the Complainant.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY'S. WAGAMAN Executive Director

JW:dktrm

cc: Lisa C. Creighton
 Attorney for Ionex Communications, Inc.
 Christine Aarnes, KCC
 Eva Powers, KCC

RECEIVED

NOV 0 1 2000

President-Kansas

RECEIVED

NOV 01 2000

LEGAL DEPT.
TOPEKA, KANSAS

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a correct copy of the above Response was sent on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of November, 2000 as follows:

### Via hand-delivery to:

Eva Powers Assistant General Counsel Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604-4027 Walker Hendrix CURB 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604-4027

### Via electronic mail to:

Michelle S. Bourianoff AT&T Comm. of the Southwest, Inc. 919 Congress Ave, Suite 900 Austin, TX 78701

E-mail address: mbourian@lga.att.com

### Via U.S. Mail to:

Mark P. Johnson Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111

Marc E. Elkins Lisa J. Hansen Morrison & Hecker, L.L.P. 2600 Grand Avenue Kansas City, MO 64108

Stephen D. Minnis Sprint/United Telephone Company 5454 W. 110th Street Overland Park, KS 66211

Thomas E. Gleason, Jr. Gleason & Doty, Chartered 401 S. Main, Suite 10 P. 0. Box490 Ottawa. KS 66067-0490

Michelle S. Bourianoff AT&T Comm. of the Southwest, Inc. 919 Congress Ave, Suite 900 Austin. TX 78701

C. Michael Lennen Morris, Laing, Evans, Brock & Kennedy 200 West Douglas, Fourth Floor Wichita, KS 67202-3084

Andrew 0. Isar Telecommunications Resellers Assoc. 3220 Uddenberg Lane, Suite 4 Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Victor A. Davis, Jr.
Wendy L. Kaus
Weary Davis Henry Struebing & Troup, LLP
P. 0. Box 187
Junction City, KS 66441

Robert A. Ganton, Trial Attorney Office of the Judge Advocate General Department of the Army 902 North Stuart Street Arlington, VA 22203-I 837

Jay Scott Emler Weelborg & Emler 1233 N. Main McPherson, KS 67460

Global Crossing Telemanagement, Inc. Kathy Murray, Attorney 1221 Nicollet Avenue, Suite 300 Minneapolis, MN 55403-2420

Brian Lippold, General Manager Adelphia Business Solutions of Kansas, LLC 266 N. Main Street, Suite 100 P. 0. Box 337 Wichita, KS 67201-0337

Michael C. Sloan Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007

Tricia Breckenridge Michael Duke KMC Telecom II, Inc. 3025 Breckinridge Blvd., Suite 170 Duluth, GA 30096 James R. Roth Woodard, Hernandez, Roth & Day 257 North Broadway, Suite 300 Wichita, KS 67202

Rose Mulvany Birch Telecom Of Kansas, Inc. 2020 Baltimore Kansas City, MO 64108

Bradley Kruse, Esq.
McLeod USA, Inc.
6400 C Street, SW
P. 0. Box 3177
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-3177

Christopher Goodpastor, Esq. Covad Communications Company 9600 Great Hills Trail, Suite 150 W Austin, TX 78759

Gabriel Garcia Mpower Communications Suite 2037-2039 7000 N. Mopac, 2nd Floor Austin TX 78731

Genevieve Morelli Eric D. Jenkins Kelley, Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036